

**CSE 6512 Randomization in Computing. Fall 2023**  
Homework 2 Solutions

- Let  $F = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$  be the input CNF Boolean formula on  $n$  variables. Let  $w_i$  be the weight of  $C_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and  $W = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i$ . Let  $C$  be any clause in  $F$  with  $k$  literals. Give a random assignment to the variables. Under this assignment,  $\text{Prob.}[C \text{ is not satisfied}] = 2^{-k}$ . This means that  $\text{Prob.}[C \text{ is satisfied}] = 1 - 2^{-k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . As a result, the expected value of the sum of the weights of the satisfied clauses is  $\geq \frac{1}{2}(w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_m)$ . I.e., this expected value is  $\geq \frac{W}{2}$ .
- We are interested in the probability of getting farther than  $d$  positions to the right. Then the probability of being at least  $d$  positions away from the origin will be twice that, because the case of going to the left is symmetrical.

Let  $X = B(n, 1/2)$  be the event that at any one step we go to the right. If we go  $a$  steps towards the right, and  $n - a$  towards the left, and at the end we are farther than  $d$  away from the origin, towards the right, then  $a > (n + d)/2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}[X > (n + d)/2] &= \text{Prob}\left[X > \frac{n}{2} \left(1 + \frac{d}{n}\right)\right] \\ \epsilon = \frac{d}{n} &\Rightarrow (\text{Chernoff}) \\ \text{Prob}[X > (n + d)/2] &< \exp\left(\frac{-d^2}{2n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We want this  $\leq n^{-\alpha}$ :

$$\exp\left(\frac{-d^2}{2n}\right) = n^{-\alpha} \Rightarrow \frac{d^2}{2n} = \alpha \ln n \Rightarrow d^2 = 2n\alpha \ln n \Rightarrow d = \sqrt{2n\alpha \ln n}$$

In conclusion  $d = \tilde{O}(\sqrt{n \log n})$ .  $\square$

- It was shown in class that the maximum of  $n$  elements can be found in  $O(1)$  time using  $n^2$  common CRCW PRAM processors.

Consider the case when  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Divide the elements into groups of size  $\sqrt{n}$ . Assign the first  $\sqrt{n}$  elements to the first  $n$  processors and the second  $\sqrt{n}$  elements to the next  $n$  processors and so on. The maximum element in each group can be found in  $O(1)$  time. At this stage, we have  $\sqrt{n}$  elements and  $n\sqrt{n}$  processors. Hence, the maximum of these elements can be found in  $O(1)$  time. Total time =  $O(1)$ .

Next, consider the case when  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ . Here, divide the elements into groups of size  $n^{1/3}$ . Assign the first  $n^{1/3}$  elements to the first  $n^{2/3}$  processors and the second  $n^{1/3}$  elements to the next  $n^{2/3}$  processors and so on. The maximum element of each group can be found in  $O(1)$  time

and using  $n^{4/3}$  processors the maximum of these maximum elements can be found in  $O(1)$  time.

For the general case, partition the input into groups with  $n^\epsilon$  elements in each group. Find the maximum of each group assigning  $n^{2\epsilon}$  processors to each group. This takes  $O(1)$  time. Now the problem reduces to finding the maximum of  $n^{1-\epsilon}$  elements. Again, partition the elements with  $n^\epsilon$  elements in each group and find the maximum of each group. There will be only  $n^{1-2\epsilon}$  elements left. Proceed in a similar fashion until the number of remaining elements is  $\leq \sqrt{n}$ . The maximum of these can be found in  $O(1)$  time. Clearly, the run time of this algorithm is  $O(1/\epsilon)$ . This will be a constant if  $\epsilon$  is a constant.

4. Let  $X = k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$ . Assume without loss of generality that the keys are distinct. Note that the right neighbor of any input key  $k_i$  is nothing but the minimum among all the input keys that are greater than  $k_i$ . Key  $k_i$  is assigned a group  $G_i$  of  $n$  processors,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The processors associated with  $k_i$  use an array  $A_i[1 : n]$ . This array is initialized with all  $\infty$ 's. Processor  $j$  of group  $G_i$  writes  $k_j$  in  $A_i[j]$  if  $k_j > k_i$ . After this write step that takes one parallel step, processors in  $G_i$  find the minimum of  $A_i[1], A_i[2], \dots, A_i[n]$  in  $\tilde{O}(1)$  time. This minimum is the right neighbor of  $k_i$ .
5. We will show that we can stably sort  $n$  integers in the range  $[1, \sqrt{n}]$  in  $O(\sqrt{n})$  time using  $\sqrt{n}$  CREW PRAM processors. Using the idea of radix sorting it will follow that we can sort  $n$  integers in the range  $[1, n^c]$  (for any constant  $c$ ) in  $O(\sqrt{n})$  time using  $\sqrt{n}$  processors.

Let  $X = k_1, k_1, \dots, k_n$  be the input sequence. Assign  $\sqrt{n}$  keys per processor. In particular, the first processor gets the keys  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\sqrt{n}}$ ; the second processor gets the keys  $k_{\sqrt{n}+1}, k_{\sqrt{n}+2}, \dots, k_{2\sqrt{n}}$ ; and so on.

- (a) Each processor sorts its keys using bucket sorting. This takes  $O(\sqrt{n})$  time. Let  $N_{i,j}$  be the number of keys of value  $j$  that processor  $i$  has, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq \sqrt{n}$ .
- (b) All the  $\sqrt{n}$  processors perform a prefix sums computation on  $N_{1,1}, N_{2,1}, \dots, N_{\sqrt{n},1}, N_{1,2}, N_{2,2}, \dots, N_{\sqrt{n},2}, \dots, N_{1,\sqrt{n}}, N_{2,\sqrt{n}}, \dots, N_{\sqrt{n},\sqrt{n}}$ .
- (c) Each processor now uses these prefix sums values to output its keys in the sorted order.

Since each of the above three steps takes  $O(\sqrt{n})$  time, the run time of the algorithm is  $O(\sqrt{n})$ .

6. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are in common memory in successive cells. In particular, assume that  $A$  is in  $M[1 : n]$  and  $B$  is in  $M[n + 1 : m + n]$ .
  - (a) Sort  $B$ , i.e., sort  $M[n + 1 : n + m]$ . This can be done in  $\tilde{O}(\log m)$  time using  $m$  arbitrary CRCW PRAM processors.
  - (b) Assign one processor per element of  $A$ . Processor  $i$  performs a binary search in  $B[n + 1 : n + m]$  to check if  $M[i]$  is in  $B$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This binary search takes  $O(\log m)$  time.
  - (c) In this step, we'll use an array  $Q[1 : 2m]$ . Each element of  $A$  that is also in  $B$  will be placed in a unique cell of  $Q$ . Each element of  $A$  is assigned one processor. If an element of  $A$  is in  $A \cap B$ , the corresponding processor will try to place the element in  $Q$ . If an

element of  $A$  is not in  $A \cap B$ , the corresponding processor goes to sleep. If a processor  $\pi$  has an element that has to be placed in  $Q$ ,  $\pi$  proceeds in rounds. It takes as many rounds as needed to successfully place its key.

In a round,  $\pi$  picks a random cell in  $Q$ ; If this cell is occupied, it waits for the next round; If this cell is empty, it tries to write its key in the cell; Processor  $\pi$  reads from this cell to check if its key is there; If so, the processor goes to sleep; If not, it moves to the next round.

Probability that  $\pi$  succeeds in any round is  $\geq 1/2$ . Thus the number of rounds needed to place  $\pi$ 's key successfully in  $Q$  is  $\tilde{O}(\log m)$ , for any processor  $\pi$ .

- (d) Use a prefix computation to compress the array  $Q[1 : 2m]$  (and get rid of the empty cells). This can be done in  $O(\log m)$  time using  $\frac{2m}{\log m} \leq n$  processors.

The compressed array  $Q$  is  $A \cap B$ .

We could do steps (c) and (d) in a different way as follows. We use an array  $Q[1 : m]$  initialized to all zeros. Each element of  $A$  is assigned a processor. Processor  $i$  goes to sleep if  $k_i$  is not in  $A \cap B$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Otherwise, processor  $i$  writes a 1 in  $Q[j]$  if  $M[i] = M[n + j]$ . After this parallel write step, we assign one processor per element of  $B$ . These processors empty the cells of  $B$  that are not in  $A \cap B$ . A prefix sums computation is done on  $Q$  in  $O(\log m)$  time using  $\frac{m}{\log m}$  processors. These prefix sums are used to write the elements of  $A \cap B$  in successive cells in common memory.