

GRAPH SEARCH. BREADTH FIRST SEARCH: (BFS). INPUT: AN DIRECTED START FROM NODE 1. 67 GRAPH GRE) VISIT ALL The NODES AT 5 A DISTANCE OF 1. GOAL: SEARCH Through He Noves. NODES 2,4 VISIT the NEIGHBORS of these at a distance of 1. 356







![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

COMPLETING a. AKEIJ=14  $A^* = I + A + A + \dots + A^{-1}$ Consider the case  $n=2^{\circ}$  for Some integer 9. ] a parts of length, FACT: ItAtAt ... + AM-1 k from i to j a a a a a a a a a ... Ne only need 2 mult. and 2= Olog n = (I+A)~ YK.

2= b:2 (=0 an bi? MAC = Ologni 0.9 ... 2 here the above formes

# CSE 3500 Algorithms and Complexity – Fall 2016 Lecture 20: November 3, 2016

## Tree Traversal and Graph Search

- Traversal and search refer to the systematic visiting of the nodes of a tree or a graph, performing certain operations at each node.
- In the last lecture we showed that we can perform tree traversal in O(n) time, n being the number of nodes in the tree.
- In this lecture we will focus on searching through a general graph.
- Let G(V, E) be a given undirected graph that we are interested in searching. There are several ways of searching. Two popular methods are Breadth-First Search (BFS) and Depth-First Search (DFS).
- Recall that G can be represented as adjacency lists or an adjacency matrix. Let  $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

The adjacency lists representation of G is an array A[1:n] of lists. A[i] is a list of all the neighbors of the node  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

The adjacency matrix representation of G is a  $n \times n$  matrix A such that A[i, j] = 1 if there is an edge from the node i to node j in G; and A[i, j] = 0 otherwise.

• BFS starts from a node, say, u. The node u is visited first. Nodes that are at a distance of 1 from u are visited next; Nodes that are at a distance of 2 from u are visited next; and so on.

## Depth First Search (DFS)

- In DFS we start from a node, say, u and visit a neibhor v of u that has not been visited before; From v we visit a neighbor w of v that has not been visited before, and so on, until we reach a node x such that all the neighbors of x have already been visited. When this happens we backtrack to the node y that was visited before x and start the search from y, etc. The search terminates when we backtrack to the start node u.
- A pseudocode for DFS follows. To begin with, each entry in the array visited[1:n] is zero.

DFS(u) 1) visited[u] = 1;2) for each  $w \in Adj(u)$  do 3) **if** !visited[w] **then** DFS(w);

**Run Time Analysis:** Note that, for any node  $u \in V$ , line 3 is executed  $d_u$  times where  $d_u$  is the degree of u. Lines 1 and 2 are executed for every node u in V. Thus the run time of this algorithm is  $O(|V| + \sum_{u \in V} d_u) = O(|V| + |E|)$ . This is a linear time algorithm.

#### The case of multiple components

- The input graph may not be connected. Recall that an undirected graph is said to be connected if there is a path from every node to every other node in the graph.
- If the graph is not connected, then it has more than one *connected components*. A connected component of a graph is a maximal subgraph of the graph that is connected.
- When the input graph has more than one connected components we can modify the algorithm DFS to get the following algorithm DFST:

1) for i = 1 to n do 2) visited[i] = 0;3) DFST(G(V, E)) 4) for i = 1 to n do 5) if !visited[i] then DFS(i);

• Run Time: When DFS is called on any node i, all the nodes in the connected component that i belongs to will be visited. Let the number of connected components in G be c. Let the number of nodes and edges in connected component q be  $|V_q|$  and  $|E_q|$ , respectively, for  $1 \leq q \leq c$ . If the node i belongs to connected component q, then, the time spent by DFS(i) will be  $O(|V_q| + |E_q|)$ .

Thus the total run time of the algorithm will be  $O\left(\sum_{q=1}^{c} (|V_q| + |E_q|)\right) = O(|V| + |E|).$ 

### Hints on Problem 7 in Homework 2

- Note that the adjacency matrix A has information about paths of length 1 in the graph. Specifically, A[i, j] = 1 iff there is an edge from the node *i* to node *j*.
- Now consider the matrix  $A^2$ .  $A^2[i, j]$  will be 1 only if there is a k such that A[i, k] = 1 and A[k, j] = 1, i.e., if there is a path from i to j of length 2.
- Similarly, we can prove by induction that  $A^k[i, j] = 1$  only if there is a path from node i to node j of length k.

- Therefore, it follows that  $A^* = I + A + A^2 + \dots + A^{n-1}$ .
- Using the binomial theorem, we can show that  $I + A + A^2 + \dots + A^{n-1} = (I + A)^{n-1}$ .
- Let a be a real number and n be an integer. We can compute  $a^n$  using n-1 multiplications.
- In fact we can compute  $a^n$  using only  $O(\log n)$  multiplications. Consider the case when  $n = 2^q$  for some integer q. Then we can repeatedly square elements starting from a to get the following sequence:  $a, a^2, a^4, \ldots, a^{2^q}$ . Clearly, the computation of  $a^{2^q}$  takes only  $O(q) = O(\log n)$  multiplications.
- Even when n is not an integral power of two we can compute  $a^n$  using  $O(\log n)$  multiplications. Express n in binary form as:  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{q} b_i 2^i$  where each  $b_i$  is a bit. Note that  $q = O(\log n)$ .

$$a^n = a^{\sum_{i=0}^q b_i 2^i} = \prod_{(0 \le i \le q) \text{ and } b_i = 1} a^{2^i}$$

• The above equation suggests the following algorithm: 1) Compute the sequence:  $a, a^2, \ldots, a^{2^q}$  in O(q) time; and 2) Multiply the appropriate powers of a from the above sequence. This takes O(q) time as well.

The total run time is  $O(q) = O \log n$ .

## Parallel Algorithms

- The idea of parallel computing is to employ multiple processors to solve a problem.
- Let  $\pi$  be any problem for which the best known sequential algorithm takes S time. Let P be the number of processors used and let T be the parallel run time.

Fact:  $T \geq \frac{S}{P}$ .

**Proof:** by contradiction. Assume to the contrary that there is a parallel algorithm that takes  $<\frac{S}{P}$  time.

We can sequentially simulate each step of the parallel algorithm in  $\leq P$  steps. This means that we can sequentially simulate the entire parallel algorithm in a total of  $\leq PT < S$  time! This is a contradiction to the fact that S is the best known sequential run time for solving  $\pi$ .  $\Box$