A given OBJECTIVE FUNCTION. GREEDY ACGORITHMS. (SE 3500 Fram 1 SETTING. INPUT IS A Any Solution that satisfies TODAYO (a) 5 pm the given constraints is Set of dijects. The IN goal is to identify Said to be FEASIBLE. ARJ 105 A FEASIBLE Folition that a Subset Subject to Johniezes the Objective function a set of constraints and which optimizes is Optimal.

Agsithin Greedy: MINIMUM SPANNING TREE (MST): INPUT: O, Dz ;; On INRUT: weighted undirected graph G(V,E) Solution = 0; output: A MST FOR G. for i=1 to n do IF Solution U & O.? Is Frasible they Solution = Solution U & O.?; DTAC= 1 Sutput solution;

PRIM'S ALGORITHM. NEAR[u] = PARTIAL the NEAREST SUBTREE TREE W, W2 ! · / W/ × NEKTHBOR OF 6 × U. 'S 2 X 2 Ku x x x 8 Wi = NEAR[u] is Cost (u, wi) is the SMALLEST AMONG COST(U, w), Got (U, w); Got (U, w)

We use a 2-3 TREE Q for u < V- Eq. 69 do 3) to store all the modes INSERT I into Q with a key Value of Cost (4, NEAR [4]); Outside the partial tree T. 1) Let (9,6) the edge with the LEAST WEIGHT in G. 2 for UEV- Jab 2 do I Gost (4, a) < Gost (4, b) then NEAR[4] = a she NEAR[4]=6;

We use a 2-3 TREE Q - Pr uc V- Eq. 69 do 3) to store all the modes INSERT I into Q with a key Value of Cost (4, NEAR [4]); Outside the partial tree T. V Log VI D Let (a, b) the edge with the LEAST WEIGHT in Q for UEV-Jaby do I Gost (4, a) < Cost (4, b) then NEAR[4] = a de NEAR[4]=6;

Dtal topwer = 2/E. $\mathcal{N} = (V)$. × (4) or i=1 to (n-2) do let j be the node in Step 4 7 Q with the least key; for WE Adj(f) do 2 dw log [V] X WEV if Cost (W, NEAR[w])> = Log IVI/ Edu if Cost (W, NEAR [W] Cost (W, j) they NEAR [W] = j Olgm =(Cost (w, j) Add (J. NEAR(13) to T then dw-DEGREE OF W NEAR[W]=J and delete j Fran Q;

CSE 3500 Algorithms and Complexity – Fall 2016 Lecture 15: October 18, 2016

Greedy Algorithms

- There are numerous problems for which simple greedy approaches could help in obtaining optimal solutions.
- Consider the following class of problems: Let I be a set of objects. We are interested in finding a subset S of I such that S satisfies a set of constraints and optimizes a given objective function.
- Any subset of I that satisfies the given constraints is called a *feasible solution*. Any feasible solution that optimizes the objective function is called an *optimal solution*.
- We would ideally like to get an optimal solution.
- The minimum spanning tree problem belongs to the above class of problems.

Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) Problem

- The MST problem can defined as follows. Input: A weighted directed graph G(V, E); Output: A spanning tree of G that has the least total edge weight.
- A spanning tree of G is nothing but a subset of the edges of G that will induce a tree on V.
- Note that a spanning tree will have |V| 1 edges.
- Thus the MST problem can be thought of as that of choosing a subset T of E such that T will induce a tree on V and the sum of weights of all the edges in T is as small as possible.

A General Greedy Algorithm

- Let I be the input set of objects. A generic greedy algorithm starts with an empty set S as the solution. It examines each object O of I at a time and makes a decision on whether to include O into S or not. When it finishes examining all the objects of I, it outputs the resultant solution.
- The order in which the objects are examined could make a difference in the quality of the output. A *selection criterion* is used to determine this order.

• A pseudocode for a generic greedy algorithm is given below.

```
\begin{aligned} Solution &= \emptyset; \\ \textbf{for } i &= 1 \textbf{ to } n \textbf{ do} \\ &\text{Select the next object } O \text{ from } I \text{ using} \\ &\text{a relevant selection criterion; } I &= I - \{O\}; \\ &\text{if } Solution \cup \{O\} \text{ is feasible then } Solution &= Solution \cup \{O\}; \\ &\text{Output } Solution; \end{aligned}
```

• Note that in a greedy algorithm once we make a decision with respect to an object we will never re-examine this decision.

Prim's Algorithm

- In the last lecture we discussed the Kruskal's algorithm. In this lecture we will explore the Prim's algorithm in detail.
- Prim's algorithm always keeps a tree T that is a subtree of a MST of G. To begin with T will have only one edge, namely, the edge of G with the least weight. Ties are broken arbitrarily.
- The algorithm starts with a tree T with one edge and this tree is grown one edge at a time. When the tree has |V| - 1 edges, the resultant tree is output.
- An important question here is which edge of G should be added next to T?
- Since we are interested in minimizing the sum of the weights of all the edges in T, a relevant greedy approach for selecting the next edge for T will be to choose the edge whose weight is the least from among all those edges that have one end point in T and the other end point outside T.
- To identify the edge that should enter T next, we define a data structure called *near*. For any node u outside T, we define near[u] to be that node v of T such that cost(v, u) is the least (across all the nodes u in T). Here cost(i, j) refers to the weight of the edge (i, j) for any $(i, j) \in E$.
- To begin with we identify the edge of G with the least weight and T has only this edge at the beginning. Let (a, b) be this edge. For every node u other than a and b we compute near[u] and insert u into a 2-3 tree Q with a key value of cost(u, near[u]).
- From thereon, we identify the node u from Q with the least key value. This nodes enters the tree T next. When we insert a new node into T, the *near* values of some of the nodes might change. Luckily the only nodes whose *near* values might change will be those that are

adjacent to u (the node that has just now been inserted into T) in G. We modify the *near* values of the nodes as needed. Followed by this we repeat the process of identifying the next node that should enter T next, and so on. Let n = |V| and m = |E|. A pseudocode follows.

• Run Time Analysis: Step 1 takes O(m) time. Step 2 takes O(1) time if we keep T as a list of edges. Step 4 takes O(1) time. In step 5, we perform an insert operation into a 2-3 tree. Each insert takes $O(\log n)$ time. Thus step 3 takes a total of $O(n \log n)$ time.

In step 10, the key value of a node w in Q changes. One way of making this change will be to delete w from Q and insert it back into Q with the new key value. Thus step 10 takes $O(\log n)$ time. If the degree of the node u is d_u , then step 9 takes a total of $O(d_u \log n)$ time. Step 7 takes a total of $O(n \log n)$ time (over all values of i in the **for** loop of line 6). Step 8 takes a total of $O(n \log n)$ time (over all values of i in the **for** loop of line 6).

The total time step 9 takes (over all values of *i* in the **for** loop of line 6) is $O\left(n\log n + \sum_{u \in V-\{a,b\}} d_u \log n\right) = O\left(n\log n + \sum_{u \in V} d_u \log n\right) = O(n\log n + m\log n)$, since $\sum_{u \in V} d_u = 2m$ for any undirected graph.

In summary, the total run time of the algorithm is $O((m+n)\log n)$.