CSE 3500 Algorithms and Complexity Fall 2016; Exam III; Help Sheet

TREE TRAVERSAL AND GRAPH SEARCH. We showed that there are many ways to traverse a tree and such a traversal can be completed in linear time. If G(V, E) is any input graph, then we can search through this using Depth First Search (DFS) or Breadth First Search (BFS). Each of these searches takes O(|V| + |E|) time.

PARALLEL ALGORITHMS. The model we used was the PRAM (Parallel Random Access Machine). Processors communicate by writing into and reading from memory cells that are accessible to all. Depending on how read and write conflicts are resolved, there are variants of the PRAM. In an Exclusive Read Exclusive Write (EREW) PRAM, no concurrent reads or concurrent writes are permitted. In a Concurrent Read Exclusive Write (CREW) PRAM, concurrent reads are permitted but concurrent writes are prohibited. In a Concurrent Read Concurrent Write (CRCW) PRAM both concurrent reads and concurrent writes are allowed. Concurrent writes can be resolved in many ways. In a Common CRCW PRAM, concurrent writes are allowed only if the conflicting processors have the same message to write (into the same cell at the same time). In an Arbitrary CRCW PRAM, an arbitrary processor gets to write in cases of conflicts. In a Priority CRCW PRAM, write conflicts are resolved on the basis of priorities (assigned to the processors at the beginning).

We presented a Common CRCW PRAM algorithm for finding the Boolean AND of n given bits in O(1) time. We used n processors. As a corollary we gave an algorithm for finding the minimum (or maximum) of n given arbitrary real numbers in O(1) time using n^2 Common CRCW PRAM processors.

We also discussed an optimal CREW PRAM algorithm for the prefix computation problem. This algorithm uses $\frac{n}{\log n}$ processors and runs in $O(\log n)$ time on any input of n elements. (For the prefix computation problem the input is a sequence of elements from some domain Σ : k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n and the output is another sequence: $k_1, k_1 \oplus k_2, \ldots, k_1 \oplus k_2 \oplus k_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus k_n$, where \oplus is any binary associative and unit-time computable operation on Σ .) As an application of prefix computation, we proved that sorting of n elements can be done in $O(\log n)$ time using $\frac{n^2}{\log n}$ CREW PRAM processors. We discussed Preparata's algorithm for sorting. This algorithms runs in $O(\log n)$ time using $n \log n$ CREW PRAM processors.

INTRACTABLE PROBLEMS. A problem π_1 is said to be polynomially reducible to another problem π_2 (denoted as $\pi_1 \propto \pi_2$) if the following statement holds: "If π_2 can be solved in deterministic polynomial time then π_1 can also be solved in deterministic ploynomial time".

A problem π is said to be \mathcal{NP} -hard if $\pi' \propto \pi$ for every $\pi' \in \mathcal{NP}$. Equivalently, a problem π is \mathcal{NP} -hard if $\pi' \propto \pi$ where π' is known to be \mathcal{NP} -hard. A problem π is \mathcal{NP} -complete if π is in \mathcal{NP} and π is \mathcal{NP} -hard.

The following are examples of \mathcal{NP} -complete problems: SAT, CLIQUE, Node Cover Decision (or Vertex Cover) Problem, 3SAT, and Subset Sum. We briefly summarized Cook's theorem that states that SAT is \mathcal{NP} -complete. Using this theorem we showed that the following problems are also \mathcal{NP} -complete: CLIQUE and Node Cover Decision Problem.